

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,764	11/04/2003	Richard Lauch	16462	6324	
50659	7590 11/14/2006		EXAMINER		
BUTZEL LONG STONERIDGE WEST 41000 WOODWARD AVENUE BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304			KRUER, STEFAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3654		

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/700,764	LAUCH, RICHARD	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stefan Kruer	3654	

	Stefan Kruer	3654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply i	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T 06.07(f).	ing date of the final reject HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing of	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Off date of the final rejection,	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brid	ef, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see N w);	OTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-0	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	L」will not be entered, or b) ⊠ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ALC CA U.	-11
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affid	notice of Appeal Will <u>ne</u> avit or other evidence i	or be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		EII EEN D	5
	si	EILEEN D. L	TEXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The arguments are not persuasive. The introduction of matter from Claims 8 and 13 into independent Claims 1 and 9, respectively, neither place the application in condition for allowance nor overcome the previous rejections. Aulanko et al teach their brake (58) including a lever (66) approximate of which is their safety switch (71, Fig. 2) which can be connected to their elevator control system (Col. 3, Line 29).